

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in September, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
9-1-2012	10-MDL-2179	(E.D. La.)	<p><b>In Re: Oil Spill by the Oil Rig "Deepwater Horizon" in the Gulf of Mexico (Medical Benefits Settlement)</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs seek money and other relief for alleged physical injuries and health impacts related to the Deepwater Horizon Incident. The lawsuit asserts certain medical-related claims arising out of the "Deepwater Horizon Incident" in the Gulf of Mexico beginning 4-20-2010.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who resided in the United States as of 4-16-2012 and who: 1) were "Clean-Up Workers" between 4-20-2010 and 4-16-2012; or 2) resided in Zone A (specified beachfront areas) for some time on each of at least sixty days between 4-20-2010, and 9-30-2010 ("Zone A Resident"), and have had a "Specified Physical Condition" prior to 9-30-2010; or 3) resided in "Zone B" (specified wetlands) for some time on each of at least sixty days between 4-20-2010, and 12-31-2010 ("Zone B Resident").</p>	11-8-2012	<p>For more information visit:</p> <p><a href="http://www.deepwaterhorizonsettlement.com">www.deepwaterhorizonsettlement.com</a></p>
9-1-2012	10-MDL-2179	(E.D. La.)	<p><b>In re: Oil Spill by the Oil Rig "Deepwater Horizon" in the Gulf of Mexico (Economic &amp; Property Damages Settlement)</b></p> <p>Second Supplemental CAFA Notice; see Notice dated 8-15-2012.</p>	11-8-2012	<p>For more information visit:</p> <p><a href="http://www.deepwaterhorizonsettlement.com">www.deepwaterhorizonsettlement.com</a></p>
9-1-2012	10-CV-07109	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p><b>Scott A. Chambers and John C. Burnette v. Merrill Lynch &amp; Co., Inc.</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that certain persons who were employed by Merrill Lynch as Financial Advisors</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information contact:</p> <p>Hanly Conroy Bierstein Sheridan Fisher</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in September, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>as of 9-15-2008 voluntarily terminated their employment with Merrill Lynch "for Good Reason" following the merger between Bank of America Corporation and Merrill Lynch &amp; Co, Inc. and were entitled to received certain amounts under the Plans (2008 Production Credits of \$500,000 or less; and did not sign and/or accept the original or amended Advisor Transition Program ("ATP")); as a result of their voluntary terminations. The Class further alleges that Defendants breached the Plans by not providing Class Members with those amounts to which they were entitled following their terminations for "Good Reason" following a "Change in Control."</p> <p>Class Members includes those Merrill Lynch Financial Advisors, in the United States, who: (a) held the position of Financial Advisor at Merrill Lynch on 9-15-2008; (b) participated in one or more of the Plans; (c) voluntarily terminated employment (excluding retirement) at Merrill Lynch between 9-15-2008 and 6-30-2012 (the "Class Period") while holding the position of Financial Advisor at the time employment was terminated and had unvested awards in one or more of the Plans at the time of their terminations; (d) had 2008 Production Credits of \$500,000 or less; and (e) did not sign and/or accept the original or amended Advisor Transition Program ("ATP").</p>		<p>&amp; Hayes LLP Paul J. Hanly, Jr. Andrea Bierstein 112 Madison Avenue 7<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10016</p>
9-1-2012	10-CV-7493	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p><b>In re: Nissan Radiator/Transmission Cooler Litigation (Model year 2005-2010; Nissan Pathfinder, Nissan Xterra, and Nissan Frontier)</b></p>	Not set yet	For more information write, call or e-mail:

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in September, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Plaintiffs allege that the named vehicle models contain a defect that permits cross-contamination of engine coolant and transmission fluid, ultimately causing the radiator and transmission to fail or need substantial repairs and further allege that Nissan failed to disclose the alleged defect to consumers. Plaintiffs further allege claims against Nissan for breach of express warranty; breach of implied warranty; unjust enrichment; fraud; negligence; intentional misrepresentation; negligent misrepresentation; design defect; manufacture defect; and assembly defect and violation of various State consumer protection statutes.</p> <p>Class Members are current or former owners or lessees of a 2005-2010 model year Nissan Pathfinder, Nissan Xterra, or Nissan Frontier vehicle in the United States and its territories.</p>		<p>Gary S Graifman Kantrowitz, Goldhamer &amp; Graifman, P.C. 747 Chestnut Ridge Road Chestnut Ridge, NY 10977</p>
9-3-2012	10-CV-01859 09-CV-00912 11-CV-00783	(E.D. Cal.)	<p><b>Dennings v. Clearwire Corp., Minnick v. Clearwire U.S., Newton v. Clearwire Corp.</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Clearwire misrepresented its Internet service speed, intentionally managed customers' Internet service speeds without proper disclosure, provided poor Internet and phone service, engaged in misleading advertising, and/or imposed early termination fees ("ETFs") that prevented customers from terminating despite poor service or have been forced to pay the ETF.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities who: (a) purchased Clearwire's retail services between 11-14-2004 and 2-27-2012 and (b) provided</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Clifford A. Cantor Law Office of Clifford A. Cantor, P.C. 627 208<sup>th</sup> Ave. SE Sammanish, WA 98074</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in September, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			Clearwire with a billing address in the United States.		
9-4-2012	11-CV-9044	(N.D. Ill.)	<p><b>Scott D.H. Redman v. Take Care Health Systems, LLC and Take Care Health Illinois, P.C.</b>            Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated certain requirements imposed by the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act (FACTA). Specifically, Plaintiffs claim that Defendants printed the expiration date of credit or debit cards on receipts provided to Class members and those actions were in violation of FACTA. Plaintiff has not alleged any actual monetary damage. In the absence of actual monetary damages, in order for Plaintiffs to prevail, Plaintiffs would have to prove that Defendants willfully violated FACTA.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who paid by credit or debit card for services at any Take Care Clinic in Illinois from 12-21-2009 through 1-4-2012 and received a receipt for such transaction.</p>	9-6-2012	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p>Paul F. Markoff            Markoff Leinberger LLC            134 LaSalle Street            Suite 1050            Chicago IL 60602</p>
9-7-2012	11-CV-129	(W.D.N.C.)	<p><b>Denise Diane Crawford v. Zenta Mortgage Services, LLC, Accenture LLP, and Accenture Credit Services, LLC</b>            Plaintiffs allege that Defendant Zenta Mortgage Services LLC misclassified its mortgage underwriters and those in similarly titled positions as exempt, and thereby failed to properly pay overtime compensation under the Fair Labor Standards Act.</p> <p>Class Members are all individuals who worked as</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write, call, fax, e-mail or visit:</p> <p>Shanon J. Carson            Sarah R. Schalman-Bergen            Berger &amp; Montague, P.C.            1622 Locust Street            Philadelphia, Pa. 19103</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in September, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			mortgage loan underwriters in the State of North Carolina at Zenta Mortgage Services, LLC, Accenture LLP and/or Accenture Credit Services LLC during the period from 3-14-2008 through 5-1-2012.		
9-7-2012	08-CV-01418	(E.D.N.Y.)	<p><b>Citi of Ann Arbor Employees' Retirement System v. Citigroup Mortgage Loan Trust Inc.</b>            Plaintiffs allege that Defendants made false statements and omitted material information in a registration statement and two prospectus supplements (the "Offering Documents") pursuant to which the Certificates were offered to investors. Specifically, the lawsuit claims that Defendants misrepresented the quality of bundled and securitized pools of mortgage loans, and then sold the rights to payments made on those mortgage loans to the members of the Settlement class in the form of the certificates. It is further alleged that the Offering Documents misrepresented that: 1) the mortgage loans supporting the Certificates were originated pursuant to certain underwriting standards - including evaluating whether the borrower could afford to repay the loan - when in fact they were not, 2) the appraisals performed in connection with the underlying loans conformed to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac requirements and evaluated the adequacy of the property as collateral for the mortgage loans, when in fact they did not, 3) the underlying loans had certain loan-to-value ratios, when those ratios were falsely understated and 4) the Certificates had certain "investment grade" credit ratings, when in fact,</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information visit, write or call 800-449-4900:</p> <p><a href="http://www.gilardi.com">www.gilardi.com</a></p> <p>Rick Nelson            c/o Shareholder Relations            Robbins Geller Rudman &amp;            Dowd LLP,            655 West Broadway            Suite 1900            San Diego, CA 92101</p> <p>800-449-4900</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in September, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>those rating should have been much lower. The lawsuit claims that by allegedly making the misrepresentations and omissions described above, Defendants violated the Securities Act of 1933.</p> <p>Class Members are all who purchased or otherwise acquired asset-backed or Mortgage pass-through certificates in either: 1) the Citigroup Mortgage Loan Trust 2007-AR5 and/or 2) the Citigroup Mortgage Loan Trust 2007-WFHE1 from 1-1-2007 through 10-31-2007, inclusive.</p>		
9-7-2012	12-CV-00204	(W.D. Ky.)	<p><b>Grabowski v. Skechers U.S.A., Inc.</b> Plaintiffs allege that Skechers violated certain state laws and consumer protection statutes in connection with the marketing and sale of eligible shoes since 8-1-2008. The lawsuit alleges that Skechers, in connection with the marketing for sale of eligible shoes, misrepresented the health benefits of wearing eligible shoes to consumers and that eligible shoes did not provide the health benefits to consumers claimed by Skechers.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who purchased any Skechers footwear called Shape-ups, Resistance Runner, Shape-ups Toners/Trainers, and Tone-ups from 8-1-2008 until and including 8-13-2012 in the United States.</p>	3-19-2013	<p>For more information visit: <a href="http://www.skecherssettlement.com">www.skecherssettlement.com</a></p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in September, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
9-8-2012	07-CV-9901	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p><b>In re Citigroup Inc. Securities Litigation</b> Plaintiffs allege that Defendants are in violation of Section 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act in connection with, among other things, Citigroup's disclosures concerning collateralized debt obligations ("CDOs"), structured investment vehicles ("SIVs"), mortgages, leveraged loans, auction rate securities, residential mortgage backed securities ("RMBSs"), solvency and generally accepted accounting principles against Citigroup and certain of its officers and directors including Charles Prince, Robert Rubin, Lewis Kaden, Sallie Krawcheck, Gary Crittenden, Steven Freiberg, Robert Druskin, Todd S. Thomson, Thomas G. Maheras, Michael Stuart Klein, David Bushnell, John C. Gerspach, Stephen R. Volk and Vikram Pandit.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who purchased or otherwise acquired Citigroup, Inc. ("Citigroup") common stock between 2-26-2007 and 4-18-2008, inclusive, or their successor in interest, and who were damaged thereby.</p>	1-15-2013	<p>For more information visit:  <a href="http://www.citigroupsecuritiessettlement.com">www.citigroupsecuritiessettlement.com</a>  <a href="mailto:Questions@citigroupsecuritiessettlement.com">Questions@citigroupsecuritiessettlement.com</a></p>
9-10-2012	10-CV-00144	(N.D. Miss.)	<p><b>Eastmoor Estates Resident Association, et al. v. Glenn Miller, et al.</b> Plaintiffs allege that Defendants, Glenn and Florence Miller, Glen Miller Construction Company and Eastmoor Estates L.P., are responsible for the repair and maintenance of the water and sewer system serving the Subdivision and for the maintenance/upkeep of the homes and vacant lots</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:  Civil Legal Clinic University of Mississippi P.O. Box 4808 University, MS. 38677</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in September, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>located in the Subdivision. The Plaintiffs have asserted claims against the Defendants for a declaratory judgment, violation of the Clean Water Act, nuisance, breach of contract, breach of the implied warranty of habitability, intentional and negligent injury, wrongful eviction or foreclosure, fraud and unjust enrichment.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who have resided for any period of time in, leased, owned, or entered into a Lease Purchase Agreement for property in the Subdivision at any time since 1992.</p>		
9-10-2012	11-CV-0412	(N.D. Cal.)	<p><b>Kagan v. Wachovia Securities LLC</b> Plaintiffs allege that Defendants failed to inform APP security beneficial owners of a previous class action settlement involving Asia Pulp &amp; Paper Company, LTD ("APP"), potentially preventing them from realizing the benefits of the settlement. The parties have agreed to a settlement that will entitle eligible class members who would have received a payment in the previous APP settlement to the same payment in this settlement, provided they submit a valid proof of claim.</p> <p>Class Members are all who purchased or acquired any of the publicly-traded securities of Asia Pulp &amp; Paper Company, LTD. or its subsidiaries ("APP") during the period between 8-28-1998 and 4-4-2001.</p>	Not set yet	No information

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in September, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
9-11-2012	11-CV-04141	(N.D. Ill.)	<p><b>Webb, et al. v. Cleverbridge, Inc., Cleverbridge AG and Uniblue Systems, LTD.</b> Plaintiffs allege that software and services sold by Cleverbridge, Inc. and Cleverbridge AG (collectively "Cleverbridge"), under the Uniblue name brand, do not perform as advertised.</p> <p>Class Members are persons who purchased any Uniblue Systems, Ltd. brand software product or service, sold by Cleverbridge in the United States, its territories, or the District of Columbia, between 7-1-2008 and the [date on which the Court enters a preliminary order approving the settlement].</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p><b>Jay Edelson Rafey S. Balabanian Ari J. Scharg Chandler R. Givens Edelson McGuire LLC 350 N. LaSalle Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60654</b></p>
9-11-2012	05-CV-03514	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p><b>In re: MBIA Inc. Securities Litigation</b> Plaintiffs allege that Defendants issued false and misleading press releases and other statements regarding MBIA's financial and operational condition prior to and during the Class Period. Lead Plaintiffs alleged that the false and misleading statements and omissions artificially inflated the price of MBIA common stock.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who purchased or acquired MBIA Inc. ("MBIA") common stock between 8-5-2003 and 3-30-2005 inclusive.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information write to:</p> <p><b>Robbins Geller Rudman &amp; Dowd LLP Ellen Gusikoff Stewart 655 West Broadway Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101</b></p>
9-11-2012	07-MD-1827	(N.D. Cal.)	<p><b>In re: TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation</b> Plaintiffs allege that Defendants and Co-Conspirators conspired to raise and fix the</p>	12-14-2012	For more information visit or call:

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in September, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>prices of TCT-LCD panels and certain products containing those panels for over a decade, resulting in overcharges to purchasers of those panels and products. The complaint describes how the Defendants and Co-Conspirators allegedly violated the U.S. antitrust laws by establishing a global cartel that set artificially high prices for, and restricted the supply of various sizes of TFT-LCD panels and the finished products that contained them.</p> <p>Panel Class Members are all persons and entities who, between 1-1-1996 and 12-11-2006, directly purchased a TFT-LCD Product in the United States from any defendant or any subsidiary or affiliate thereof, or any co-conspirator.</p> <p>Product Class Members are all persons and entities who, between 1-1-1996 and 12-11-2006, directly purchased a television, computer monitor, or notebook computer in the United States containing a TFT-LCD panel, from any defendant or any subsidiary thereof, or any named affiliate or any named co-conspirators.</p>		<p><a href="http://www.tftlcdclassaction.com">www.tftlcdclassaction.com</a></p> <p>1-877-888-3757</p>
9-12-2012	10-CV-06903	(N.D. Ill.)	<p><b>Addison Automatic, Inc. v. Precision Electronic Glass, Inc. and Philip Rossi</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendants violated the federal Telephone Consumer Protection Act (the "TCPA"), the common law of conversion and consumer protection statutes by sending advertisements to the Class by fax without their prior express invitation or permission.</p>	12-13-2012	<p><b>For more information write or fax:</b></p> <p><b>Brian J. Wanca</b>  <b>Anderson + Wanca</b>            3701 Algonquin Road            Suite 760            Rolling Meadows, IL 60008</p> <p>(847) 368-1501</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in September, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			Class Members are all persons who were sent a facsimile between 1-16-2008 and 7-24-2008 "Philip Rossi" and "Precision Electronic Glass" in "Vineland, NJ" offering a "2008 Glass Buyers Guide," "Precision Bore Tubing," "Glass Blowing and Lathe Tooling," "Precision Grinding," "Near Optical Polishing," and "Seals (Glass-to-Glass/Metal/Graded)."		
9-13-2012	11-CV-01803	(N.D. Cal.)	<p><b>Sonoda et al. v. Amerisave Mortgage Corporation</b> Plaintiffs allege that Amerisave misled consumers seeking to lock in mortgage rates and then failed to lock in those rates. Additionally, the lawsuit claimed that Amerisave required consumers to pay a property appraisal fee before providing a Good Faith Estimate, that consumers who wanted to withdraw their application with Amerisave were required to pay a cancellation fee, and that Amerisave charged a credit check fee that exceeded the amount of Amerisave's cost to obtain the credit check and that each of these is a violation of the law.</p> <p>Class Members are all individuals and entities who, as a borrower or a co-borrower, made an application for a mortgage loan to Defendant, did not obtain a mortgage loan from Defendant based on that application, and in connection with that application: (i) were charged a credit check fee by Defendant that exceeded the amount of Defendant's cost to obtain the credit check and paid said fee between 7-30-2009 and 5-9-2011 and/or (ii) were charged a property appraisal fee and paid the appraisal fee to Defendant between</p>	3-1-2013	<p><b>For more information write to:</b></p> <p>Mehri &amp; Skalet, PLLC 1250 Connecticut Ave., N.W. Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20036 Attn: Craig L. Briskin</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in September, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			8-18-2008 and [Preliminary Approval Date); and/or (iii) were charged a cancellation fee by Defendant and paid said fee to Defendant between 8-8-2007 and 6-5-2012.		
9-13-2012	07-CV-5944	(N.D. Cal.)	<p><b>In re: Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litigation Direct Purchaser Action</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendants and co-conspirators conspired to raise and fix the prices of CRTs and the CRTs contained in certain finished products for over ten years, resulting in overcharges to direct purchasers of those CRTs and certain finished products containing CRTs. The complaint describes how the Defendants and co-conspirators allegedly violated the U.S. antitrust laws by establishing a global cartel that set artificially high prices for, and restricted the supply of CRTs and the televisions and monitors that contained them.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities who, between 3-1-1995 and 11-25-2007, directly purchased a CRT Product in the United States from any defendant or subsidiary or affiliate thereof, or any co-conspirator.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information call or visit:</p> <p>1-877-224-3063</p> <p><a href="http://www.CRTDirectPurchaserAntitrustSettlement.com">www.CRTDirectPurchaserAntitrustSettlement.com</a></p>
9-13-2012	09-CV-4471	(S.D.N.Y.)	<p><b>Andrea Barron v. Roman Igolnikov et al.</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that Defendants mismanaged Selectinvest ARV LP and other funds offered or managed by UBP or its affiliates and subsidiaries (the "UBP" Funds") by investing a portion of the collective assets of each of the UBP Funds with certain "feeder" hedge funds, including Ascot</p>		<p>For more information Write, call visit:</p> <p>Gerald H. Silk Lauren A. McMillen Bernstein Litowitz Bernstein Litowitz</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in September, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>Partners L.P. (the "Ascot Fund"), which in turn placed their assets solely or primarily under management with Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC, an investment advisory service funded by Bernard L. Madoff. The proposed Settlement, if approved by the Court, will settle claims of all persons and entities who held limited partnership interests in Selectinvest ARV LP as of 12-11-2008 and were damaged thereby.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons and entities who held limited partnership interests in Selectinvest ARV LP as of 12-11-2008 and were damaged thereby.</p>		<p><b>Berger &amp; Grossmann LLP</b> 1285 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019 (800) 380-8496</p> <p><a href="mailto:blbg@blbglaw.com">blbg@blbglaw.com</a></p> <p><a href="http://www.gcginc.com/cases/barron-ubp">www.gcginc.com/cases/barron-ubp</a>.</p>
9-14-2012	11-CV-00064	(S.D. Cal.)	<p><b>Rigo v. Kason Industries, Inc.</b> Plaintiffs allege that Defendants conspired to fix prices for food service equipment component hardware manufactured by them (but not purchased directly from these two companies), in violation of federal and state antitrust and unfair competition laws. The Lawsuit seeks damages and restitution of funds that Plaintiff and potential class members paid and an Order enjoining Defendants from engaging in any conduct that violates such laws.</p> <p>Class Members are all who purchased certain types of Food Services Equipment component hardware manufactured by Kason Industries, or Component Hardware Group, Inc.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information visit or write:</p> <p><a href="http://www.KasonSettlement.com">www.KasonSettlement.com</a></p> <p>Emerson Poynter LLP William Crowder 500 President Clinton Ave Suite 305 Little Rock, AR 72201</p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in September, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
9-14-2012	08-CV-05701	(N.D. Cal.)	<b>Marolda v. Symantec Corp.</b> , (Second Supplemental CAFA Notice); see Notice dated 8-16-2012.	4-4-2013	For more information visit or call:  <a href="http://www.NortonSettlement.com">www.NortonSettlement.com</a>  1-877-853-3045
9-17-2012	11-CV-00292	(C.D. Cal.)	<b>In re MannKind Corp. Securities Litigation</b> Plaintiffs allege that MannKind Corp. is in violation of the Federal Securities Laws of the Exchange Act. It also alleged that during the Settlement Class Period, MannKind's stock price was artificially inflated as a result of a series of untrue or materially misleading statements concerning MannKind's communications with the FDA about AFREZZA, an inhaled insulin combination drug product. Lead Plaintiff further contends that Defendant made these statements knowing them to be false or misleading, or recklessly disregarded the false or misleading nature of such statements and that investors suffered injury as a result of the alleged inflation.  Class Members are all persons or entities who purchased publicly traded shares of MannKind common stock between 5-4-2010 and 2-11-2011, inclusive.		For more information write, call or visit:  The Claims Administrator MannKind Corporation Securities Litigation c/o GCG P.O. Box 9933 Dublin, OH 43017-5833  888-892-2969  <a href="http://www.gcginc.com/cases/mannkind">www.gcginc.com/cases/mannkind</a>
9-18-2012	08-MD-1907	(E.D. Mo.)	<b>In re: Aurora Dairy Corp. Organic Milk Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation</b> Plaintiffs allege that Defendant used deceptive trade practices include, among other things: a) labeling its products as "organic" when, in fact,	2-28-2013	For more information write, call or fax:  Don M. Downing Gray Ritter & Graham, P.C.

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in September, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>they are not, b) advertising and representing that its products are "organic," when they are not, c) misrepresenting the manner in which its dairy cows were raised and fed and d) suppressing or omitting material facts regarding the production of its "organic" milk or milk products, specifically that the milk or milk products are not organic, that the dairy cows were not raised at pasture, that its milk or milk products are industrially produced, and that its purportedly "organic" milk or milk products do not meet organic labeling standards.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons in the United States and/or the District of Columbia who purchased, for personal use and not for resale, organic milk, organic butter, organic cream and organic non-fat dry milk produced, processed, marketed and/or sold by Aurora Organic Dairy and its affiliates, including but not limited to the Milk Products sold under Aurora Organic Dairy's "High Meadow" brand, Costco's "Kirkland" brand, Safeway's "Safeway Select" and "O Organics" brands, Target's "Archer Farms" brand, Wal-Mart's "Great Value" brand, and Wild Oats' "Wild Oats" brand, on or before the Preliminary Approval Date.</p>		<p>701 Market Street Suite 800 St. Louis, Missouri 63101</p> <p>(314) 241-5620</p> <p>(314) 241-4140</p> <p>Elizabeth A. Fegan Hagens Berman Sobol &amp; Shapiro LLP 1144 W. Lake Street Suite 400 Oak Park, Illinois 60301</p> <p>(708) 628-4949</p> <p>(708) 628-4960</p>
9-19-2012	11-CV-2735	(N.D. Ga.)	<p><b>Brandford L. Jackson v. Metscheck, Inc., and First Communities Management, Inc.</b> Plaintiffs allege that <b>Metscheck</b> violated provisions of the Federal Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA"), by selling consumer reports for employment purposes during the time period 8-17-</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information call or visit:</p> <p>1-888-224-1770</p> <p><a href="http://www.jacksonfcrasettlement.info">www.jacksonfcrasettlement.info</a></p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in September, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name  Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>2009 to (names not disclosed) without providing these consumers proper notice under the FCRA when the reports contained negative information and, in some cases, sold reports that contained information that should have been excluded because it was more than seven years old.</p> <p>Class Members are of two classes: (1) all persons whose Metscheck background reports sold for employment purposes contained negative information but for whom Metscheck did not mail those persons a copy of the report and a summary of his or her rights under the FCRA and (2) all persons whose Metscheck background reports sold for employment purposes contained certain information that should have not been included because it was more than seven years old. It is possible for one individual to be a member of both Classes.</p>		
9-20-2012	11-CV-2735	(N.D. Ga.)	<p><b>Bradford L. Jackson v. Metscheck, Inc.</b> Plaintiffs allege that <b>First Communities</b> violated provisions of the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA"), by refusing to hire or promote these consumers based in whole or in part on the consumer report during the time period 8-17-2009 to [Preliminary Hearing Date] without providing these consumers with: (1) a copy of their report and (2) a description in writing of their FCRA rights before taking the adverse action.</p> <p>Class Members are all individuals: (1) who applied for a position with First Communities; (2) for whom First Communities purchased a</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information call, visit or write to:</p> <p>1-888-224-1770</p> <p><a href="http://www.jacksonfcrasettlement.info">www.jacksonfcrasettlement.info</a></p>

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in September, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			consumer report on them for employment purposes; (3) for whom the consumer report contained negative (derogatory) information; and (4) for whom First Communities failed to provide that person with a copy of their consumer report and/or Summary of Rights under the FCRA at least five business days or within a reasonable time before the person was notified that First Communities may take adverse action.		
9-20-2012	08-CV-05701	(N.D. Cal.)	<b>Marolda v. Symantec Corp (Joint Motion)</b> (The Court entered the order granting preliminary approval on 9-17-2012); See Notice dated 8-16-2012.	4-5-2013	For more information visit or call: <a href="http://www.NortonSettlement.com">www.NortonSettlement.com</a> 1-877-853-3045
9-26-2012	10-CV-05126	(D.N.J.)	<b>Marie Rita Kennedy-Lebar, et al. v. Volkswagen Group of America, Inc.</b> Plaintiffs allege that some high intensity discharge ("HID") headlights on 2005 and 2006 model year Audi A4 (B7) vehicles and 2005 model year Audi A4 (B6) vehicles can flicker or shut off unexpectedly while the vehicles are being driven.  Class Members are all purchasers and/or lessees of any 2005 and 2006 model year Audi A4 (B7) vehicle, or 2005 model year Audi A4 (B6) vehicle, originally equipped with factory installed high intensity discharge ("HID") headlights, who reside in the United States.	Not set yet	For more information write to:  Initiative Legal Group, APC c/o Gene Williams 1800 Century Park East Second Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in September, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
9-27-2012	10-CV-07109	(S.D.N.Y.)	<b>Scott A. Chambers and John C. Burnette v. Merrill Lynch &amp; Co., Inc., (Joint Notice of Amended Stipulation of Settlement)</b> Supplement to Notice of Class Settlement; see Notice dated 9-1-2012.	2-13-2013	For more information contact:  Paul J. Hanly, Jr. Andrea Bierstein 112 Madison Avenue 7 <sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10016
9-27-2012	12-CV-00609	(N.D. Cal.)	<b>LaGarde et al. v. Support.com et al.</b> Plaintiffs brought allegations against Defendants Support.com, Inc. ("Support.com") and AOL, Inc. ("AOL"), that Software Products marketed as Advance Registry Options and Computer Checkup did not perform functions as advertised.  Class Members are all individuals and entities in the United States and its territories that have paid monies for any version of Defendants, ARO and/or Computer Checkup software at any time until [date of preliminary approval order].	Not set yet	For more information write to:  Jay Edelson Rafey S. Balabanian Benjamin H. Richman Chandles R. Givens Edelson McGuire LLC 350 N. LaSalle Suite 1300 Chicago, Il. 60654  <a href="http://www.sunscreensettlem ent.com">www.sunscreensettlem ent.com</a>
9-28-2012	12-CV-04774	(D.N.J.)	<b>Brody v. Merck</b> Plaintiffs allege that Merck violated certain state laws and consumer protection statutes in connection with alleged misrepresentations concerning Eligible Coppertone Sunscreen Products ("ECSP"). Specifically, Plaintiffs allege that Merck induced consumers to purchase ECSP at a premium price based on the purported benefits provided, including but not limited to the nature, extent, amount and/or effectiveness of	Not set yet	For more information write to or visit:  Mitchell Twersky Abraham, Fruchter & Twersky, LLP One Penn Plaza Suite 2805 New York, NY 10119

**Class Action Fairness Act (CAFA) Notices  
in September, 2012 to the  
Attorney General for the District of Columbia**

Notice Date	Case Number	Court	Case Name Summary of Issue	Fairness Hearing Date	Website Link
			<p>UVA and/or UVB protection provided by these products.</p> <p>Class Members are all persons who purchased ECSP in the U.S. and its territories, up to [date of preliminary approval order].</p>		
9-28-2012	11-CV-2615	(N.D. Ohio)	<p><b>Bruce White vs. CRST, Inc.</b></p> <p>Plaintiffs allege that CRST did not comply with the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA") in the manner in which it obtained and/or relied upon or used the consumer reports of job applicants. The suit alleges that CRST violated consumers, rights under a federal law - the FCRA - in the manner in which it procured and/or relied upon or used consumer reports in connection with applications for employment with CRST.</p> <p>Class Members are all who applied for employment with CRST during the Class Period via facsimile, telephone, electronic mail, regular mail, or other similar means, and during the application process, about whom CRST procured a Consumer Report and/or relied upon or used a Consumer Report on or after 12-1-2006 through 7-31-2012 in connection with the Class Members' application for employment with CRST.</p>	Not set yet	<p>For more information visit:</p> <p><a href="http://www.CRSTClassAction.com">www.CRSTClassAction.com</a></p>